

NOT-FOR-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONAL LIFECYCLES

	Founding <i>Passionate, determined & highest energy</i>	Grounding <i>Formal status, informal structure</i>	Growing <i>Duty, hierarchy and salaried employees</i>	Sustaining <i>Roles, comfort and corporate</i>	Decline/Decision <i>- Status Quo turns to decline - decide to reinvent or close the doors</i>
Programs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Extremely informal or not yet a concern – Perceived need for a program or service 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Simple programs are initiated or a mix of diverse & nonintegrated activities – Referrals & marketing by word of mouth 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Programs begin to establish with demand often greater than capacity – More niche focus with a specific audience – First official promotional material 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Core programs are established & recognized in the community – Long range program planning with new programs added & deleted as required – Marketing plan & professional image 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Loses sight of market as programs developed primarily to attract funding – Difficulty & inconsistency in delivering quality services – No real proactive marketing & community relation building
Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Entrepreneurial & visionary leader – All volunteer driven, no salaried employees 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Single minded founder whose vision drives the organization; sole decision making with little or no hierarchy – Small (if any), enthusiastic staff with most work still completed by volunteers 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Beginning strategic division of labor with start of hierarchy; executive director still primary decision maker – First job descriptions & personnel policies – Often lack of time & constant sense of urgency; first intro of specialists that require competitive compensation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Second or third generation leadership, leader inspires confidence among all stakeholders – Larger, vertical organization chart with clear delegation of authority & accountability; more diverse staff & professional managers 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Low staff morale; high turnover – Committed to status quo, organizational slippage is ignored, focus is on individual programs instead of organizational goals – Change agent needed – ‘Founder’s Syndrome’ felt (if still leading)
Governance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Not yet a real concern 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Board in place, but largely operational do not view themselves in a governance role – Small, passionate, & homogenous; tend to be hand chosen by ED or have personal connection to mission – Tend to defer decisions to ED 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Board expansion – first “outsiders” added who are professionals with expertise higher org. performance expectations – Transitioning to governance board focus more on planning & oversight – More reactive than strategic in policies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Board size & diversity increases, organized for maximum effectiveness; – Accountable, keeps mandate focused & vital; Board sets direction, leaves mgt to leader 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – No or very high board turnover – Less involved, only takes action when money starts to run out; not focused on community response to organization – Sluggish, bogged down in structure that may be outdated
Operations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Not yet a concern – No real ‘home office’ 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Few formal operational systems or routines; agile and flexible – Frequent informal communication 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Systems of all types required to meet demands of program expansion, more fundraising, more marketing – Permanent home office with admin support – Internal communication is challenging 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Coordination through formal planning – Standardized systems, policies & procedures are efficient and support timely decision-making – Better integration of technology, data management, & regular communications (internal & external) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Well-developed systems become “red-tape”; may become too complicated & expensive to change – Physical space may be deteriorating
Financial	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – All resources are in kind 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Focus on gathering resources; overly dependent on a few funding sources – Low budget operation, possibly hand-to-mouth; budget is the sole financial document with limited to no financial/accounting systems 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Income sources diversifying but still unpredictable – Cash flow problems; more sophisticated financial management systems are needed – Cost considerations are more important 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Reliable & diverse funding streams – Significant cash reserves; reasonably accurate financial forecasting & deficits generally avoided – Additional fundraising staff support – Revenue generation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Insufficient cash reserves – Loss of financial support; not bringing in new funding sources – Averse to cutting expenses, if asset-rich looking to prior earnings to cover current costs